

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-742V

UNPUBLISHED

DESTINY BLANKE,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: January 10, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;  
Table Injury; Meningococcal Vaccine;  
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine  
Administration (SIRVA)

*Bruce W. Slane, Law Office of Bruce W. Slane, P.C., White Plains, NY, for Petitioner.*

*Emily H. Manoso, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

On January 13, 2021, Destiny Blanke filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (SIRVA) caused by the adverse effects of a meningococcal vaccination she received on February 12, 2020. Petition at 1. Petitioner further alleges that the vaccine was administered in the United States, she suffered residual effects or complications from her right shoulder injuries for more than six months, and neither she, nor any other party, has ever received compensation in the form of an award or settlement for her vaccine-related injuries. Petition at 1, 7. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On December 17, 2021, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, after reviewing the petition and medical records filed in this case, Respondent concluded that Petitioner has satisfied the criteria set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table and the Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation. *Id.* at 6. Respondent further agrees that the scope of damages is limited to Petitioner's SIRVA and its related sequelae only. *Id.*

**In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master